

Jeanne Ann Burton

VS

Hagh Law

Deposition of

PHILLIP YOUNG

April 21, 2022

H

HARPETH
COURT REPORTERS

(615) 933-6786

www.harpethcourtreporters.com

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION

IN RE:) Case No:
CUMMINGS MANOOKIAN, PLLC,) 3:19-bk-07235
Debtor,) Chapter 7
_____) Honorable Charles
Jeanne Ann Burton, in her) M. Walker
capacity as Chapter 7)
Trustee,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Adv. No:
Hagh Law, PLLC, Afsoon Hagh,) 3:20-ap-90002
Manookian PLLC,)
Defendants.)
_____)

Deposition of

PHILLIP YOUNG

Taken on behalf of the Defendants

Commencing at 10:14 a.m.

April 21, 2022

Reported by:

Harpeth Court Reporters
Franklin, Tennessee
Sabrina L. Schneider, LCR No. 455

(615) 933-6786

www.harpethcourtreporters.com

1 APPEARANCES:

2 For the Witness:

3 JUSTIN CAMPBELL, ESQ.
4 Thompson Burton, PLLC
5 One Franklin Park
6 6100 Tower Circle, Suite 200
7 Franklin, Tennessee 37067
8 (615) 465-6008
9 justin@thompsonburton.com

7 For the Defendant HAGH LAW, PLLC, and AFSOON HAGH:

8 CRAIG V. GABBERT, JR., ESQ.
9 Bass Berry & Sims
10 150 Third Avenue South, Suite 2800
11 Nashville, Tennessee 37201
12 (615) 742-6277
13 cgabbert@bassberry.com

11 For the Defendant MANOOKIAN, PLLC:

12 JOHN SPRAGENS, ESQ.
13 Spragens Law, PLC
14 311 22nd Avenue North
15 Nashville, Tennessee 37203
16 (615) 983-8900
17 john@spragenslaw.com

16 Also Present:

17 Jeanne Ann Burton, Trustee
18 Brian Manookian
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS

Page

By Mr. Spragens.....5

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

None were marked

1 The deposition of PHILLIP YOUNG, was taken
2 on behalf of the Defendants on the 21st day of April,
3 2022, in the offices of the U.S. Customs House, 701
4 Broadway, Nashville, Tennessee, for all purposes under
5 the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

6 The formalities as to notice, caption,
7 certificate, et cetera, are waived. All objections,
8 except as to the form of the questions, are reserved
9 to the hearing.

10 It is agreed that Sabrina L. Schneider, being
11 a Notary Public and Court Reporter for the State of
12 Tennessee, may swear the witness, and that the reading
13 and signing of the completed deposition by the witness
14 are reserved.

15
16
17
18
19
20 * * *
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

PHILLIP YOUNG

was called as a witness, and after having been first
duly sworn, testified as follows:

E X A M I N A T I O N

BY MR. SPRAGENS:

Q. Mr. Young, my name's John Spragens. I assume
that you know the rules of a deposition; is that fair?

A. I do.

Q. You're represented by counsel, Mr. Thompson?
Sorry.

A. Mr. Campbell.

Q. Mr. Campbell of Thompson Burton?

A. Yes.

Q. Can you give me a little bit of background
about yourself in terms of law licensure and the
history of your career?

A. Yeah. Licensed in 2000. Practiced at Bass,
Berry & Sims from 2000 to August 2008. Was on my
own from August 2008 through January 2010. Formed
Garfinkle, McLemore & Young in 2010, practiced there
until March of 2014, and have been with Thompson
Burton since that time.

Q. Let's see. So you went to Bass, Berry & Sims
straight after law school?

A. Yeah.

1 Q. And then you left there in 2008?

2 A. Right.

3 Q. With respect to your separation from Bass,
4 Berry & Sims, was that your decision or the firm's
5 decision or a mutual decision?

6 A. My decision.

7 Q. And were there any ethical issues raised in
8 terms of your integrity or your ethical practice of
9 law at that time?

10 A. None.

11 Q. Then you were in solo practice for two or
12 three years; is that right?

13 A. About a year and a half, I think.

14 Q. And then you were at the Garfinkle firm from
15 '10 to '14?

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. Was it your decision to separate from the
18 Garfinkle firm or was it the firm's decision?

19 A. My decision.

20 Q. Were you a partner at that firm?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And you had a membership interest in the firm?

23 A. I did.

24 Q. With respect to -- okay. At Bass, I'm
25 assuming you were not a partner; is that right?

1 A. I had not yet been a partner. They told me I
2 was making partner.

3 Q. So you were expecting to make partner at the
4 time you left Bass?

5 A. Correct.

6 Q. Any reason why you didn't stick around and
7 make partner?

8 A. Sure. Because I was working too much, had
9 small children.

10 Q. What was the corporate form of your solo
11 practice?

12 A. Just an LLC -- or PLLC.

13 Q. PLLC?

14 A. Yeah.

15 Q. What, if you recall, was the Garfinkle firm's
16 corporate entity status?

17 A. It was either a PLC or PLLC. I don't
18 remember.

19 Q. And you said it was your decision to leave the
20 Garfinkle firm.

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. And then you went to Thompson Burton.

23 A. Right.

24 Q. What's the corporate form of Thompson Burton?

25 A. PLLC.

1 Q. And I assume that at all times that you were
2 whether solo at Garfinkle or at Thompson Burton,
3 there's been a licensed attorney who's a member -- at
4 least one licensed attorney who's a member of each of
5 those firms?

6 A. I assume so at Bass Berry. Since I wasn't a
7 member there, I can't speak to that directly, but I
8 assume so. But I can speak directly to the others.
9 Yes.

10 Q. Your client identified you as having personal
11 knowledge about some of the allegations in the
12 complaint in this case; is that correct?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And that was in her sworn interrogatory
15 responses to Ms. Hagh's interrogatories; is that
16 right?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. What is the subject matter or the -- what are
19 the topics about which you have personal knowledge in
20 this case?

21 A. Things that happened -- any of the allegations
22 in the complaint that related to the receivership,
23 because there was a separate receivership section, and
24 then certain of the facts under the heading of the
25 Fitzgerald case to the extent that those things

1 happened prior to the bankruptcy, so, for example,
2 discussions about movement of the money and things
3 like that.

4 Q. The bankruptcy was filed in -- is it November
5 or December of 2019?

6 A. November.

7 Q. November 2019. So with respect to the
8 Fitzgerald case, before that date would be what you
9 have personal knowledge about?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. And the receivership allegations, those
12 would be the ones that appear under the heading the
13 Receivership, and that starts on Page 5 and goes
14 through Page 6 of the complaint. Does that sound
15 right to you?

16 A. I guess. It's under a heading that says
17 Receivership. And obviously some of those things are
18 taken directly from pleadings. But you'll see in
19 there that the receiver made demand or the receiver
20 asked or things like that. Those are the things that
21 I would have personal knowledge of.

22 Q. And with respect to the Fitzgerald case
23 allegations, that's the next section of the complaint,
24 and those are the ones that your knowledge -- your
25 personal knowledge continues through the time of the

1 filing of the bankruptcy?

2 A. Right. I think that -- I think my involvement
3 in the Fitzgerald matter really ended in -- well, I
4 guess -- yeah, I guess that's right, because there
5 were continuing to be hearings up through the time of
6 the bankruptcy, so -- about the money that was
7 deposited with the state court, so...

8 Q. That's right. And some of those hearings
9 would have occurred after the bankruptcy was filed
10 and, though, at the time you were still functioning as
11 the receiver in the state court case; is that right?

12 A. Yes. This was not a typical receivership.
13 I was not receiver of Cummings Manookian. This was
14 a receivership that was just to collect choses in
15 action. So it was a receivership just to collect A/R,
16 but to the extent A/R was still outstanding up until
17 the time of the bankruptcy. Once the bankruptcy was
18 filed, I no longer had authority to collect the A/R.

19 Q. But you were still involved in the -- as the
20 receiver after the bankruptcy was filed but before the
21 adversary proceeding was filed, correct?

22 A. In name. There was just nothing to do.

23 Q. Didn't we both attend a hearing together in
24 December of 2019? And my understanding, and correct
25 me if I'm wrong, was that you were there as the

1 receiver.

2 A. That's right. Yeah, that's right.

3 Q. And that was in Lawrenceburg in front of Judge
4 Allen.

5 A. Allen.

6 Q. You were appointed receiver on June 20th,
7 2019; is that right?

8 A. That sounds right. I thought it was early
9 July, but it may have been late June.

10 Q. I'll just -- rather than trying to show
11 you a bunch of documents today, out of respect for
12 everyone's time, I'll represent to you that Paragraph
13 22 of the complaint says, "On June 20th, 2019,
14 the Williamson County Circuit Court granted the
15 receivership and entered an order appointing Phillip
16 G. Young as receiver for purposes of collecting the
17 Cummings Manookian and Hammervold, PLC, choses in
18 action."

19 A. That would be more accurate than my memory
20 today, so yes.

21 Q. With respect to your knowledge or the
22 materials in the complaint that you have personal
23 knowledge about, is there anything in the complaint
24 that you now believe is inaccurate?

25 A. That I have personal knowledge of? No.

1 Q. Was an order ever entered terminating the
2 receivership in the state court case?

3 A. No, I don't think so.

4 Q. So as of today you are still the receiver by
5 that court appointment?

6 A. I suppose in name, but there's nothing to do,
7 because, like I said, there's no choses in action.
8 Those all belong to the bankruptcy estate.

9 Q. But whether or not there's anything to do, you
10 were appointed receiver and the Court has not
11 terminated that appointment?

12 A. To my knowledge, they haven't terminated.

13 Q. What was your first awareness of a dispute
14 between Mr. Manookian or his then law firm and the
15 Chase parties? Essentially, how did you get involved
16 as receiver in that case?

17 A. I received a call from Dan Puryear a month or
18 two, maybe, before my appointment to ask if I was
19 willing to serve as a receiver in a case.

20 Q. And had you known him previously?

21 A. Dan Puryear? I'd worked with him in other
22 cases.

23 Q. Had you ever served as a receiver in a case in
24 which he was representing a party?

25 A. I don't think so.

1 Q. Had you ever served as a receiver before in
2 other state court cases?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. So I'll represent to you that the complaint
5 says that they filed the receivership motion on April
6 25th of 2019. Does that sound right to you?

7 A. That sounds right.

8 Q. Do you believe that you were contacted by
9 Mr. Puryear before that motion was filed?

10 A. I think so, but I'm not certain. I think so.

11 Q. Do you recall whether that motion asked the
12 Court to appoint you specifically as receiver or just
13 a receiver?

14 A. I don't recall.

15 Q. Do you recall reviewing that motion before it
16 was filed?

17 A. No. I recall reviewing an order before an
18 order was submitted, but I don't recall reviewing a
19 motion.

20 Q. And when Mr. Puryear contacted you, what in
21 sum or substance did he say?

22 A. That he was representing a party that had a
23 large judgment against a default -- a defunct law firm
24 or against a law firm that was being wound down or
25 something and that he needed somebody to collect the

1 accounts receivables.

2 Q. So at the time that he first contacted you, he
3 told you that Cummings Manookian was being wound down?

4 A. Let me think of when that was. Yes, I think
5 he did.

6 Q. And what did you say to him? I assume you had
7 to run a conflicts check and go through the usual
8 process?

9 A. I did.

10 Q. Did you say -- what's your recollection of how
11 you responded to his --

12 A. Well, obviously I said yes at some point. I
13 don't remember -- I don't remember whether I -- you
14 know, whether that took two weeks or two days. I
15 don't remember.

16 Q. Had you had any previous dealings with
17 Cummings Manookian or Mr. Manookian as of the time
18 Mr. Puryear called you?

19 A. No. I'd never heard of either of them.

20 Q. And so, essentially, at that time you agreed
21 that you would serve as receiver if the Court
22 appointed you, and attempt to collect that
23 approximately \$750,000; is that right?

24 A. That's right.

25 Q. And that \$750,000 was a sanctions award that

1 Judge Binkley had entered in Williamson County court
2 against Mr. Manookian; is that right?

3 A. I think it was against Mr. Manookian, Cummings
4 Manookian, Mark Hammervold, and Mark Hammervold's law
5 firm.

6 Q. Did you attend the hearing on that motion?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. So presumably that motion probably named you;
9 is that right?

10 A. Certainly by the time -- by the time it was
11 being heard, I was proposed. I don't remember whether
12 the motion named me or whether that was later. I just
13 don't recall. But certainly I knew by the time that
14 there was a hearing that I was being proposed.

15 Q. What did you do -- what was your first act as
16 receiver after you got that appointment?

17 A. What I recall is that I attempted to contact
18 Mr. Manookian, Mr. Hammervold, and maybe Mr. Cummings
19 -- definitely Mr. Cummings at some point, I don't know
20 whether that was later, but to get a list of cases
21 that were still active for Cummings Manookian,
22 Hammervold, either of those two firms.

23 Q. And that would have been in the summer of
24 2019?

25 A. That's right.

1 Q. Did you get that list from any or all of them?

2 A. I think I got lists from all of them. They
3 were all slightly different, as I recall, but I
4 believe I got lists from all of them.

5 Q. And then what did you do after you got that
6 list or those lists?

7 A. Filed attorneys liens in those cases.

8 Q. And you listed those cases in the complaint on
9 Page 6, the list that we talked about yesterday with
10 Ms. Burton; is that right?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. And then at some point did you begin
13 attempting to collect fees in those cases on behalf of
14 the Chase parties?

15 A. Yes. Well, on behalf of the Court. The Court
16 would ultimately decide what happened to the funds,
17 but on behalf of the Court.

18 Q. Sure. Do you remember -- do you recall which
19 cases you successfully were collecting fees in during
20 that time period?

21 A. I don't. I know there were a couple, and I
22 think they're listed in the complaint, actually, but I
23 don't remember which of those cases we actually
24 collected funds from.

25 Q. And then at some point Mr. Cummings continued

1 to make some payments to you as cases continued to
2 settle; is that right?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Was that all in 2019 or did that bleed into
5 2020 after the bankruptcy?

6 A. That would be a question for the trustee,
7 but I -- I believe the trustee collected some fees
8 from Mr. Cummings, as well, post bankruptcy. I know I
9 did as receiver pre bankruptcy in maybe two or three
10 cases.

11 Q. When did you get copies of any engagement
12 letters? When, if ever, did you get copies of any
13 engagement letters between Cummings Manookian and its
14 clients?

15 A. As receiver, I got copies of engagement
16 letters in -- from Mr. Manookian in, I believe it was,
17 September 2019.

18 Q. How did you get those? Were they emailed to
19 you or did you meet in person? What were the
20 circumstances of getting those?

21 A. I met at 45 Music Square West, met
22 Mr. Manookian there, and he gave me copies there.

23 Q. Was anybody else at that building, as far as
24 you knew, during that meeting?

25 A. I didn't see anyone else.

1 Q. So Ms. Hagh certainly wasn't there?

2 A. Not that I know of.

3 Q. And is it fair to say that in your time as
4 receiver, you did not ever see Ms. Hagh at 45 Music
5 Square West?

6 A. I've never met Ms. Hagh, so no.

7 Q. Is that the only time that you've been to
8 45 Music Square West, that September 2019 meeting?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Tell me what happened during that meeting.

11 A. It was pretty uneventful. I showed up and
12 rang the doorbell. It took -- I remember it took a
13 while for somebody to come to the door because I was
14 thinking, you know, "Am I at the wrong place?" But
15 Mr. Manookian came to the door.

16 We went upstairs to a conference room, sat
17 down. He had the letters laid out and some other
18 things. Like, I know there were some notices about
19 his temporary suspension that he gave me at that
20 meeting and there were some -- maybe some case
21 invoices related to the Fitzgerald case that he gave
22 me. It was mostly engagement letters for the various
23 matters I'd asked for.

24 Q. At that meeting, did you receive a copy of the
25 December 2018 withdrawal letter that we looked at

1 yesterday with Ms. Burton?

2 A. I did.

3 Q. Any other withdrawal letters that you received
4 during that meeting?

5 A. No.

6 Q. When you were at 45 Music Square West, did you
7 see anyone -- did you see any indicia of law practice
8 going on while you were there?

9 A. I didn't see anyone there, if that's what
10 you're asking.

11 Q. When you were up on the second floor, you were
12 in just a nondescript conference room; is that right?

13 A. Yeah, was just in a conference room.

14 Q. Was anybody using any of the other offices on
15 that floor?

16 A. I don't remember seeing any other offices.
17 What I recall is that we went straight into a
18 conference room. I wasn't looking, though. I wasn't
19 looking for people.

20 Q. At some point, did you learn that Ms. Hagh had
21 formed Hagh Law in March of 2019?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And do you recall how you learned that?

24 A. I think that the way I recall that is that in
25 the process of attempting to secure the Fitzgerald

1 fees, Mr. Manookian represented -- well, first, I
2 found out from Mr. Meisner, who was the defense lawyer
3 in that case, that he was instructed to remit those
4 fees to Afsoon Hagh at Hagh Law. I think that may
5 have been the first time I'd heard about Hagh Law at
6 that point. And I think maybe I went to the secretary
7 of state's office, you know, to the website, to pull
8 it up at that point.

9 Q. What, if anything else, did Mr. Meisner tell
10 you other than that he was instructed to remit the
11 fees to Hagh Law?

12 A. That that's what the settlement agreement said
13 and that that's what he intended to do.

14 Q. Did he suggest to you that there was anything
15 untoward or unusual about sending those fees to Hagh
16 Law?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Did you develop an impression at that time
19 that there was something untoward or improper about
20 those fees being submitted to Hagh Law?

21 A. I don't know if it was at that time or later,
22 but I certainly, at some point during that time prior
23 to the bankruptcy, knew that the complaint had been
24 filed by Cummings Manookian and that even after the
25 December 2018 letter from Mr. Manookian that purported

1 to terminate the relationship, that Ms. Hagh continued
2 filing pleadings in the Fitzgerald case on behalf of
3 Cummings Manookian.

4 Q. And how did you learn that, that she had
5 continued to file pleadings, as you say, on behalf of
6 Cummings Manookian?

7 A. From the court file.

8 Q. So you went and looked at the docket to try to
9 figure out everything that's been going on in the
10 Fitzgerald case at that time?

11 A. I don't remember if that was -- it was
12 somewhere in August or September of 2019.

13 Q. You are aware that when an attorney or a law
14 firm seeks to withdraw from a case, they have to make
15 some efforts to ensure that the client is continued to
16 be represented in that case; is that right?

17 A. Yes, unless the Court excuses them from that
18 and allows the client to proceed pro se, yes.

19 Q. So there's nothing unusual about seeing a
20 motion to withdraw that assures the Court that the
21 client will continue to be represented by another
22 attorney, is there?

23 A. No. I thought it was unusual that there was
24 no order allowing the withdrawal, but -- what was
25 unusual about that, though, is it was -- it was not

1 Cummings Manookian's withdrawal, it was Brian
2 Manookian's withdrawal, and then it said Afsoon Hagh
3 will continue representing the client.

4 Q. And what was the significance, in your mind,
5 of not seeing an order regarding the withdrawal?

6 A. I've just never -- I mean, it's a hanging
7 motion that's not resolved if there's no order that
8 grants the motion or denies the motion.

9 Q. And that's really as a result of the Court's
10 action, not the litigant's action, right?

11 A. I mean, if you don't submit a proposed order,
12 there's not going to be one granted.

13 MR. GABBERT: Unless you've lost your
14 license and you can't submit a proposed order.

15 MR. MANOOKIAN: Unless the Tennessee
16 Supreme Court has already submitted it on your behalf.
17 BY MR. SPRAGENS:

18 Q. You're aware that Mr. Manookian became
19 suspended from the practice of law at some point in
20 2018, right?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And was it your understanding that that action
23 was taken by the Tennessee Supreme Court and the Board
24 of Professional Responsibility?

25 A. As far as I know.

1 Q. And there's nothing that any trial court has
2 to do to effectuate suspension of a law license, is
3 there?

4 A. Not that I know of.

5 Q. Do you have a view on whether Cummings
6 Manookian could have filed a notice of withdrawal as
7 opposed to a motion to withdraw in the Fitzgerald
8 case?

9 A. I don't know.

10 Q. If they had filed a notice of withdrawal,
11 how would that impact your view of whether Cummings
12 Manookian continued to represent the Fitzgerald
13 family?

14 A. If Cummings Manookian had filed a notice or if
15 Brian Manookian had filed a notice?

16 Q. Let's start with Cummings Manookian.

17 A. If Cummings Manookian had filed a notice, then
18 presumably there would be a substitution of counsel
19 right behind it for the reasons that you've just
20 expressed, that the Court doesn't want them
21 unrepresented, and then -- so that would be
22 understandable.

23 Q. What if Brian Manookian, who you knew to be --
24 let me ask you this. Did you know at that time that
25 Brian Manookian was the sole member of Cummings

1 Manookian?

2 A. No.

3 Q. When did you learn that he was the sole member
4 of Cummings Manookian?

5 A. Sometime after the bankruptcy was filed.

6 Q. At what point did you first have dealings with
7 Brian Cummings?

8 A. Within a few weeks after the receivership,
9 like I said, I reached out to him to try to get a list
10 of cases he was aware of that Cummings Manookian or
11 Hammervold Law was involved in.

12 Q. And as of that time, you knew that he had been
13 a named partner at Cummings Manookian?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And a member for purposes of the PLLC?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Did you seek to get a copy of the operating
18 agreement at that time?

19 A. No.

20 Q. At what point did you seek to get a copy of
21 the Cummings Manookian operating agreement?

22 A. I never did. The trustee did.

23 Q. So that would have been after the bankruptcy
24 was filed?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. Is that how you came to learn that as of
2 December 2018 and early 2019, that Mr. Manookian was
3 the sole member of Cummings Manookian?

4 A. No. I think I discovered that after the
5 bankruptcy, but I think it was a combination of things
6 between what the trustee told me about the meeting of
7 creditors and then looking up secretary of state
8 information on Cummings Manookian.

9 Q. In 2019, before the bankruptcy was filed, did
10 you have any view on who else was or may have been a
11 member of Cummings Manookian?

12 A. I didn't know whether Afsoon Hagh was a
13 member.

14 Q. Did you ask Mr. Manookian that question?

15 A. I did not.

16 Q. Did you ask Ms. Hagh that question via email
17 or telephone or any other way?

18 A. I did not.

19 Q. Did you take any steps in 2019 to determine
20 who all the members of Cummings Manookian were?

21 A. No.

22 Q. And is there any reason that you didn't do
23 that?

24 A. It wasn't relevant at that time.

25 Q. In your role as receiver, did you have any

1 view on whether a PLLC that did not have a member with
2 an active law license could continue to represent
3 clients?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Do you have any view on that now?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Are you prepared to share that view?

8 A. Sure. Mr. Manookian had a suspended license.
9 He still had a license, he was not disbarred, and so I
10 believe he could still own a PLC. In fact, he formed
11 Manookian, PLLC, while he was suspended.

12 Q. So it's your view that if a lawyer has
13 a suspended license, they can form and operate a
14 professional LLC engaged in the practice of law, they
15 just can't personally practice law?

16 A. Right.

17 Q. You are aware that the terms of his
18 suspension, which he reviewed, prohibited
19 Mr. Manookian from being in the same building as
20 anyone practicing law?

21 A. I was aware of that.

22 Q. And that doesn't change your view about
23 whether a PLLC with a suspended sole member can
24 continue to practice law?

25 A. It doesn't. I mean, you can have associates.

1 Q. Is it your view that Mr. Manookian could hire
2 associates today and run a law firm where they are
3 engaged in the practice of law?

4 A. I don't know. You'd have to ask the BPR that.

5 Q. And have you taken any steps to ask the BPR
6 that?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Have you taken any steps to consult with an
9 expert on legal ethics about whether that's
10 permissible or not?

11 A. No.

12 Q. And have you taken any steps to consult with
13 an expert on corporate formation and entities such as
14 a PLLC about whether that is allowable under the PLLC
15 statute?

16 A. No. I mean, I've looked at the PLLC statute.
17 Have I consulted with an expert? No.

18 Q. That's your view of the statute, though?

19 A. Yes. That's what you asked me, was my view.

20 Q. At what point -- well, let me ask you this.
21 Did you at some point develop the view that Ms. Hagh
22 was continuing to practice law on behalf of Cummings
23 Manookian after December 2018?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. How did you develop that view?

1 A. I'm sorry. Ask me the question again.

2 Q. I think that you had just said that you did
3 develop the view at some point that Ms. Hagh was
4 continuing to practice law on behalf of Cummings
5 Manookian after December 2018. And my question is:
6 How did you come to develop that view?

7 A. Okay. From looking at the pleadings in the
8 Fitzgerald case where she continued filing pleadings
9 on behalf of Cummings Manookian.

10 Q. And you developed that view in the summer or
11 fall of 2019?

12 A. It would have been -- it would have been the
13 fall of 2019.

14 Q. And when did Ms. Hagh, in your view as
15 receiver, stop practicing law on behalf of Cummings
16 Manookian?

17 A. I don't know that I formed an opinion, as
18 receiver, of that.

19 Q. In your role as receiver, did you share
20 Ms. Burton's view that when Ms. Hagh filed pleadings
21 that stated Cummings Manookian, she was working on
22 behalf of Cummings Manookian, and when she filed
23 pleadings that stated Hagh Law, she was working on
24 behalf of Hagh Law?

25 MR. CAMPBELL: Object to the form.

1 THE WITNESS: I don't know that I formed
2 an opinion either way about that.

3 BY MR. SPRAGENS:

4 Q. As receiver, did you form an opinion that
5 Ms. Hagh was -- that all of Ms. Hagh's work in the
6 Fitzgerald case in 2019 was performed on behalf of
7 Cummings Manookian?

8 A. Again, I don't know that I formed an opinion
9 about that. What I was tasked to do by the Court was
10 to collect \$750,000, and I knew that I had a very good
11 argument, based on the engagement letter, that the
12 firm was entitled to at least that much, and that was
13 sort of the end of it.

14 Q. Well, let's talk about the engagement letter
15 for a minute. You're aware that the engagement letter
16 entitles Cummings Manookian to collect a reasonable
17 attorney's fee in the event it's discharged by its
18 client, right?

19 A. That's what it says, right.

20 Q. And in the next paragraph, it talks about if
21 the firm withdraws from the representation, and it
22 says, "Cummings Manookian is entitled to collect its
23 advanced costs," correct?

24 A. That's what it says, right.

25 Q. And it does not say anything in that paragraph

1 about collecting any fees from the client; is that
2 correct?

3 A. It does not.

4 Q. You would agree that the Fitzgeralds never
5 discharged Cummings Manookian as their counsel,
6 correct?

7 A. Not to my knowledge.

8 Q. And you've seen the December 2018 letter in
9 which Cummings Manookian tells the Fitzgeralds that it
10 is withdrawing from the case, correct?

11 A. Yes. And then there's subsequent pleadings
12 that were continued to be filed on behalf of Cummings
13 Manookian.

14 Q. But you have seen that letter that we looked
15 at yesterday with Ms. Burton?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And when you withdraw from a representation,
18 is it unusual, in your view, that there might be a
19 transition period in which a couple of additional
20 pleadings are filed?

21 A. Yes, that would be unusual in my opinion.

22 Q. Your reading of the engagement letter between
23 Cummings Manookian and the Fitzgeralds is that it is
24 silent on the question of whether Cummings Manookian
25 is entitled to attorney's fees in the event that it

1 withdraws?

2 A. Are you asking me as receiver or counsel for
3 the trustee?

4 Q. I'm asking you as receiver when you got that
5 letter.

6 A. As receiver, I had no opinion about that.

7 Q. Well, you just told me that you thought that
8 you had a good argument as receiver that Cummings
9 Manookian was entitled to that money in the Fitzgerald
10 case. So what's the basis of that good argument?

11 A. Because there was a signed engagement letter
12 with Cummings Manookian, and I was unaware of any
13 other signed engagement letters.

14 Q. But you were aware, as of summer 2019, that
15 this December 2018 withdrawal letter existed, right?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. How did that impact your good argument?

18 A. It didn't.

19 Q. And why is that?

20 A. Because I think that's an attempted withdrawal
21 that was never followed through. I think it was a
22 notice to the client that there was an attempt to
23 withdraw and then there was never a withdrawal.

24 Q. So it's your view -- or it was your view as
25 receiver that notwithstanding the suspension of the

1 law license and the statement to the client that "I
2 am required to withdraw as counsel in this matter,
3 Cummings Manookian is additionally required to
4 withdraw as Brian Cummings has recently left the
5 firm and I am in the process of winding up its
6 affairs" -- notwithstanding that language, you do
7 not believe that there was an effective withdrawal
8 by Cummings Manookian in the Fitzgerald matter?

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. And the statement in the December 2018 letter,
11 "I am in the process of winding up its affairs,"
12 that's consistent with what Mr. Puryear told you when
13 he called you about serving as receiver, correct?

14 A. I think it is, yeah.

15 Q. Cummings Manookian was winding down because
16 Manookian had been suspended from the practice of law
17 and so he couldn't continue anymore?

18 A. I don't know if he said that, but I do think
19 he said they were winding down. I do believe that.

20 Q. And so this letter that was signed by Brian
21 Manookian in December of 2018 is entirely consistent
22 with what Mr. Puryear told you when you got involved
23 in the case?

24 A. With regard to the winding down, yes.

25 Q. So how would Cummings Manookian continue to

1 represent the Fitzgeralds after sending this letter
2 and filing a motion for withdrawal in the Fitzgerald
3 case?

4 A. Well, Cummings Manookian didn't file a motion
5 to withdraw. It was Brian Manookian's motion to
6 withdraw. So Afsoon Hagh could continue representing
7 Cummings Manookian in that case.

8 Q. And it was your view as receiver she could do
9 that, notwithstanding the fact that the principal of
10 Cummings Manookian sent a letter telling the client
11 that the firm would no longer represent the client or
12 even be engaged in the business of practicing law?

13 A. Yes.

14 MR. CAMPBELL: Mr. Spragens, are you
15 going to make that an exhibit to his depo?

16 MR. SPRAGENS: I wasn't planning to,
17 because we did it yesterday.

18 MR. CAMPBELL: If it's in the record from
19 yesterday, that's fine.

20 MR. SPRAGENS: It is the December 7th,
21 2018, letter.

22 THE WITNESS: I know what letter you're
23 talking about.

24 BY MR. SPRAGENS:

25 Q. In your view, were Marty and Melissa

1 Fitzgerald entitled to rely on the representation
2 from Mr. Manookian in December 2018 that the firm was
3 withdrawing and, in fact, winding up its affairs?

4 A. I don't even know if they received that
5 letter, so I can't answer that question.

6 Q. If they did receive that letter, would they be
7 entitled to rely on that representation?

8 MR. CAMPBELL: Object to the form.

9 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

10 BY MR. SPRAGENS:

11 Q. You didn't have a view on that as receiver?

12 A. No.

13 Q. At the time that Mr. Manookian gave you a copy
14 of this letter in 2019, did you doubt that it had been
15 sent to the client?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And what was the basis of that?

18 A. Because Mr. Manookian couldn't produce -- or
19 Cummings Manookian couldn't produce the green card,
20 because he says it was sent certified.

21 Q. Any other reason you doubted the authenticity
22 of this letter?

23 A. That's it.

24 Q. If Mr. Fitzgerald testifies that he received
25 this letter, would that be sufficient, in your mind,

1 to demonstrate that he did receive this letter?

2 A. As receiver, it doesn't matter to me anymore.

3 So...

4 Q. And when you were receiver, did you contact
5 Mr. Fitzgerald to ask if he received this letter?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Did you develop your suspicion about its
8 authenticity immediately upon receiving it? In other
9 words -- let me ask you this: When did you ask for
10 the green card?

11 A. Before the bankruptcy. It was while I was
12 serving as receiver, but I don't remember when.

13 Q. Was it during that meeting at 45 Music Square
14 West?

15 A. It could have been, or it could have been
16 after that. I don't remember.

17 Q. Do you have any correspondence where you asked
18 for the green card?

19 A. I don't know.

20 Q. Do you recall if you did it orally or in
21 writing?

22 A. I don't.

23 Q. Is that material that you could search through
24 and obtain in this case if we asked you to?

25 A. I could if it's relevant, yes.

1 Q. Is there any chance that you didn't ask for
2 the green card?

3 A. No. I asked for the green card.

4 Q. You just don't remember when or how?

5 A. I don't remember how or when. I remember
6 being told that the file that that was kept in had
7 been corrupted, the computer file, that it had been
8 scanned in and been corrupted.

9 Q. Do you recall hearing anything about a server
10 that was no longer able to be accessed?

11 A. No.

12 Q. You don't recall Mr. Manookian ever telling
13 you about that?

14 A. No.

15 Q. And when you say you heard about a file that
16 had been corrupted, when did you hear about that?

17 A. It would have been the same time I asked for
18 the green card.

19 Q. That was a conversation between you and
20 Mr. Manookian?

21 A. Yes. I don't remember whether it was by email
22 or orally. I don't remember.

23 Q. But you two were the only parties to that
24 conversation?

25 A. As far as I remember, yes.

1 Q. And whatever conversation you-all had in
2 person at 45 Music Square West, you-all were the only
3 parties to that conversation as well?

4 A. Yes. It was a brief conversation. It was
5 really, "Here are the documents."

6 Q. And to the best of your recollection, at that
7 meeting you did not ask for the green card?

8 A. I don't remember. I don't remember if it was
9 that meeting or later.

10 Q. Is there something that led you to believe you
11 needed to see the green card in order to understand
12 that this letter got sent?

13 A. I don't remember. I don't remember why I
14 asked for the green card.

15 Q. Because my point is, you know, a lot of times
16 letters say via U.S. mail, via email, but you don't go
17 ask every time you get a letter to see the proof that
18 it was sent, correct?

19 A. Right.

20 Q. So what was it about this letter that made you
21 need to see the green card?

22 A. I think just the fact that then there were
23 filings that Afsoon Hagh later had on behalf of
24 Cummings Manookian.

25 Q. Well, that was your legal view as the

1 receiver, correct?

2 A. Right.

3 Q. That was a conclusion you drew?

4 A. Right.

5 Q. So isn't it possible that you drew a
6 conclusion that was just not supported by the record
7 of what actually happened in the case?

8 A. Yeah. Of course that's possible.

9 Q. Do you have any information about what the
10 Fitzgeralds did after receiving this letter, if, in
11 fact, they received this letter?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Do you know if they attempted to interview any
14 other attorneys to represent them?

15 A. I don't.

16 Q. And you didn't contact them to ask about
17 that --

18 A. No.

19 Q. -- when you were serving as receiver?

20 A. I did not.

21 Q. Upon your appointment as receiver, at some
22 point you filed motions that were adverse to Cummings
23 Manookian; is that correct? And by "adverse" I mean
24 seeking to collect funds from Cummings Manookian.

25 A. No, I don't think so. I don't think I was

1 ever seeking -- I was seeking to recover the funds
2 that belonged to Cummings Manookian.

3 Q. Did you ever file a motion seeking contempt
4 against Cummings Manookian?

5 A. In the receivership?

6 Q. When you were serving as receiver.

7 A. I may have, but I don't remember.

8 Q. Did you file a motion seeking sanctions
9 against Cummings Manookian as receiver?

10 A. I may have. I don't remember.

11 Q. Do you recall that the substance of the
12 December 2019 hearing involved allegations by you as
13 receiver that Ms. Hagh had moved money improperly in
14 bank accounts?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And those allegations are actually
17 memorialized in the complaint that Ms. Burton filed,
18 correct?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. Do you recall seeking monetary sanctions
21 against Ms. Hagh or Hagh Law?

22 A. I don't, but I could have. I just don't
23 remember.

24 Q. Do you recall seeking monetary sanctions
25 against Cummings Manookian?

1 A. I don't, but I could have. I mean, the
2 pleadings would be the best evidence of that. I just
3 don't remember.

4 Q. So whatever the pleadings say, you stand by
5 those pleadings?

6 A. That's right.

7 Q. Do you agree with me that if you filed a
8 motion seeking sanctions and -- monetary sanctions
9 and/or contempt against Cummings Manookian, that that
10 would make you adverse to Cummings Manookian?

11 MR. CAMPBELL: Object to the form.

12 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't
13 remember the context of that, so I can't answer that
14 question.

15 BY MR. SPRAGENS:

16 Q. Do you understand what I mean when I talk
17 about adversity in a legal sense?

18 A. Yeah. Sure.

19 Q. So if you alleged improper conduct by Cummings
20 Manookian or its principals, do you agree with me that
21 that would make you adverse to Cummings Manookian?

22 A. Or its principals, yes.

23 Q. You don't disagree with me that seeking
24 monetary sanctions against a party makes you adverse
25 to that party?

1 A. I'd say that's generally right, yeah.

2 Q. You have paid yourself, with court
3 authorization, from funds that you collected as
4 receiver from Cummings Manookian, correct?

5 A. From Cummings Manookian and Hammervold
6 receivables, yeah, that's right.

7 Q. And do you know as of the time the adversary
8 proceeding was filed -- do you know how much you had
9 paid yourself, at that time, from those funds?

10 A. I don't, but the receivership reports would
11 show that.

12 Q. Do you have any time or fees in the
13 receivership action that have not been paid as of this
14 date?

15 A. I think so, yes.

16 Q. And what's the date range of that work?

17 A. I don't recall.

18 Q. Do you have any -- do you recall what work you
19 haven't been paid for in the receivership?

20 A. I think it was all pre-petition time, if
21 that's what you're asking.

22 Q. So your recollection is that work you haven't
23 been paid for in the receivership predates the filing
24 of the bankruptcy?

25 A. That's my recollection, but the receivership

1 reports would show that.

2 Q. Have you been paid for your work in December
3 of 2019 in which you filed motions for a temporary
4 restraining order seeking some sort of collection of
5 funds from Hagh Law in front of Judge Allen?

6 A. I don't know.

7 Q. So you're not sure if you've been paid for the
8 December 2019 work you did?

9 A. I don't know.

10 Q. That would be after the filing of the
11 bankruptcy?

12 A. That's right.

13 Q. Is Cummings Manookian obligated to pay your
14 unpaid fees in the receivership?

15 A. No.

16 Q. And why not?

17 A. I think there's a court order that directs
18 that any unpaid receiverships be paid by the
19 petitioning party, which would be the Chases.

20 Q. And that's because the \$750,000 that you were
21 seeking to collect as receiver has been vacated as of
22 this date; that order has been vacated by the
23 Tennessee Court of Appeals?

24 A. I'm not sure that that's why, but what you
25 said is accurate, that that order has been vacated.

1 It was well after my involvement in the state court
2 action.

3 Q. At this point in the receivership, there is
4 not a chose in action that needs to be collected?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. Fair to say?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. I'm talking to you about things you know
9 better than I do, which is always the challenge being
10 a lawyer.

11 MR. SPRAGENS: Let's take a little break.
12 We don't have a whole lot more.

13 (Recess observed.)

14 BY MR. SPRAGENS:

15 Q. Mr. Young, have you ever spoken with Judge
16 Walker in person or on the phone, outside the presence
17 of opposing counsel, about any party or issue in this
18 case?

19 A. No.

20 Q. You heard Judge Walker say that an attorney
21 had called him expressing concerns about being in the
22 same room with Mr. Manookian. Did you hear him say
23 that at the hearing?

24 A. I heard him say that, that somebody had
25 called --

1 MR. CAMPBELL: Objection. Relevance.

2 THE WITNESS: I heard him say that
3 somebody called chambers.

4 BY MR. SPRAGENS:

5 Q. And do you know anything about an attorney
6 calling chambers about Mr. Manookian?

7 A. The only thing that I know about it is the
8 very, very first hearing we had in this case, I called
9 his courtroom deputy to alert her to the fact that a
10 creditor's lawyer had an order of protection. And I
11 didn't know how that was going to work logistically
12 when you have a lawyer who's representing a creditor
13 in the bankruptcy and the debtor's representatives
14 and there was an order of protection down. And so I
15 called to alert them to that so they would know how to
16 handle that. But I don't know if anybody else called.

17 Q. And which creditor are you referring to?

18 A. Chase.

19 Q. And who's the lawyer?

20 A. Dan Puryear.

21 Q. And do you know if Mr. Puryear contacted
22 chambers too about that?

23 A. I don't know.

24 Q. But you contacted chambers to let them know
25 that the Chase parties and Mr. Manookian couldn't be

1 in the same space; is that correct?

2 A. I just told them that I knew there was an
3 order of protection, so logistically I thought that
4 was a potential problem.

5 MR. CAMPBELL: Objection. Relevance.

6 BY MR. SPRAGENS:

7 Q. And did you make any comments about
8 Mr. Manookian posing a risk to any person in the
9 courthouse?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Do you know who in the chambers you talked to?

12 A. It would have been his courtroom deputy. I
13 think it was the person before Lauren. Lauren is the
14 current courtroom deputy, but I think it was the
15 person before her.

16 Q. Did you consider filing a motion to point out
17 whatever that concern was rather than calling chambers
18 ex parte?

19 A. No.

20 Q. And why not?

21 A. Because it was just a logistical issue and we
22 always handle that through the courtroom deputies.

23 Q. Well, it was a logistical issue that
24 implicated bodily safety; is that fair?

25 MR. CAMPBELL: Objection. Relevance.

1 THE WITNESS: No, I don't think that's
2 fair.

3 BY MR. SPRAGENS:

4 Q. Did you say anything about Mr. Manookian
5 posing a risk to any person?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Do you know who was operating Cummings
8 Manookian during the period December 2018 through
9 March 2019?

10 A. No.

11 Q. You know it wasn't Mr. Cummings, though,
12 correct?

13 A. I wasn't involved in the case during that
14 time, so I don't know. I assume it wasn't, because he
15 had withdrawn.

16 Q. And started his own firm?

17 A. Correct.

18 Q. And you learned all that as receiver?

19 A. Right.

20 Q. So as receiver, did you develop any view on
21 who was operating Cummings Manookian during that time
22 period between December 2018 and March 2019?

23 A. No.

24 Q. But I guess you testified earlier that you
25 thought that -- at that time you thought that Ms. Hagh

1 might be a partner operating a firm?

2 A. I didn't know what she was. I just know she
3 was signing pleadings on behalf of Cummings Manookian.

4 Q. And you know she's a licensed attorney just
5 like you and I are, correct?

6 A. Right.

7 Q. And she's had other law firm jobs other than
8 Hagh Law. Are you aware of that?

9 A. No.

10 Q. You're aware that she's -- are you aware that
11 she has litigated and tried cases in court?

12 A. I think somebody has mentioned that, but I'm
13 not personally aware of where that was or anything.

14 Q. I guess I'm just trying to understand why you
15 developed the assumption that she was not, you know,
16 able to start her own law firm and represent clients
17 in her own capacity at Hagh Law.

18 A. Is that a question?

19 Q. Yeah. Can you shed any light on that?

20 A. I don't think I developed the theory that she
21 wasn't able to. I think I developed the theory that
22 she didn't because she continued filing things on
23 behalf of Cummings Manookian.

24 Q. As of March 2019, when she formed Hagh Law, do
25 you contend that Cummings Manookian still represented

1 the Fitzgeralds starting in March 2019?

2 A. I don't think I had an opinion on that as
3 receiver.

4 Q. As receiver, did you develop an opinion on the
5 last action that Ms. Hagh took on behalf of Cummings
6 Manookian in the Fitzgerald case?

7 A. I didn't have an opinion about that.

8 Q. As receiver, do you hold the opinion that
9 Cummings Manookian was entitled to 100 percent of the
10 fee in the Fitzgerald case?

11 A. I never fully developed that opinion, so the
12 answer to that is no, I didn't have an opinion on
13 that. I felt confident that Cummings Manookian was
14 entitled to at least the 760 that was in court.

15 Q. And you're aware now that your client's view
16 is that Cummings Manookian is entitled to 100 percent
17 of the fee in the Fitzgerald case?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. But that is not a view that you had formed as
20 receiver?

21 A. Not at that time.

22 Q. I'm not going to mark the complaint as an
23 exhibit, but I'm going to hand you a copy of the
24 adversary proceeding complaint. And my question is:
25 Looking through Paragraphs 10 through 41, which are

1 all the factual materials in the case, those were all
2 facts that were developed within your knowledge as
3 receiver; is that fair to say?

4 A. (Reviewing document.) No.

5 Q. Okay. Which facts were not within your
6 personal knowledge as receiver?

7 A. I don't think I knew the date or the year in
8 which Cummings Manookian was formed.

9 Q. So that would be a fact in Paragraph 10?

10 A. Uh-huh. Yes.

11 Q. And just for the record, you're reviewing
12 these paragraphs in the complaint, and you'll let me
13 know if you see facts that were not developed in your
14 role as receiver.

15 A. Yes. And so in Paragraph 13, for example,
16 I mean, these were things I knew as receiver, but
17 actually, the actual pleadings are the best evidence
18 of that.

19 Q. Fair enough.

20 A. Paragraph 13.

21 Q. But you had personal knowledge as receiver of
22 the facts in Paragraph 13, even though the pleadings
23 may be the best evidence of what was in those
24 pleadings?

25 A. Right. I knew of those pleadings and I knew

1 that they represented what it says in Paragraph 13.
2 Same on Paragraph 14, and same on Paragraph 15. I
3 mean, obviously the secretary of state's website is
4 where that information came from.

5 Q. That's right. But you --

6 A. But I knew of that information, yes.

7 Q. I think you testified earlier that you went
8 and looked that up on the secretary of state's website
9 when you were receiver.

10 A. I think I did.

11 And the same thing on 16. That comes from
12 pleadings, but I knew.

13 Now, 17 I did not have personal knowledge of
14 as receiver.

15 Q. You heard Ms. Burton testify that the basis
16 for the allegation in 17 that appears in some other
17 places in the complaint is the use of 45 Music Square
18 West by Ms. Hagh on pleadings; is that right?

19 A. That's right.

20 Q. And you did have personal knowledge of that at
21 the time?

22 A. That's right.

23 Q. And you're not aware of any other facts that
24 support Paragraph 17 that were developed in your time
25 as receiver, are you?

1 A. No. 18, again, it was from the secretary
2 of state's office. I'm actually not sure that as
3 receiver I knew the date that Manookian, PLLC, was
4 formed.

5 Q. So you don't have a recollection, when you
6 were serving as receiver, looking up Manookian, PLLC?

7 A. I don't. And the same response to Number 19.
8 I'm not sure that I looked into Manookian, PLLC, as
9 receiver.

10 Q. Any reason why Manookian, PLLC, was not
11 important to you when you were serving as receiver?

12 A. Because it wasn't holding any funds. It
13 wasn't making claims to any funds. And, again, I was
14 just trying to collect a receivable, and it wasn't
15 making a claim to a receivable. And same answer to
16 20.

17 Q. Okay. So those paragraphs with respect to
18 Manookian, PLLC, are not information that you learned
19 or developed as receiver?

20 A. That's right.

21 Q. And then I think we've talked about the next
22 section, which is Paragraphs 21 through 24.

23 A. Yes. That would all be -- I would have had --
24 again, some of these, I mean, are just reciting things
25 that are in the record, but I would have had knowledge

1 of all this that was in the record, being 21 through
2 24.

3 Q. And you were participating in the hearings in
4 that case during that time period?

5 A. That's right.

6 Q. Paragraph 25 through 41 is about the
7 Fitzgerald case. We talked about it a little bit
8 earlier, but is it fair to say that these are facts
9 that you developed or came to learn of in your time as
10 receiver?

11 A. Let me look through them again.

12 Q. Sure.

13 A. It's been a while since I've seen this. I
14 mean, we looked at it yesterday, but I was not looking
15 at it through this lens.

16 And, again, just to be clear, a lot of these
17 things I learned from documents, from pleadings, from
18 the engagement letter, things like that. But I knew
19 about those things, if that's the question. And then,
20 obviously, like Paragraph 28 is actual -- I had
21 personal knowledge of that.

22 Q. Right. And, for example, the things you
23 learned about from documents in this section, which
24 could be, you know, Paragraph 27, Paragraph --

25 A. 26.

1 Q. -- 26, Paragraph 29, those are things you
2 learned from documents in the course and scope of your
3 duties as receiver?

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q. Okay. Otherwise, you'd probably tell me this
6 was work product and you couldn't tell me how you know
7 about this.

8 A. That's right. Yeah, that's right.

9 But yes, I had personal knowledge of all those
10 facts.

11 Q. So that gets us through Paragraph 41.

12 A. Right.

13 Q. And then the remainder of the complaint are
14 the claims for relief, correct --

15 A. Right.

16 Q. -- and the prayers for relief?

17 You can set that aside. Thank you.

18 Have you submitted all of your bills for your
19 work as receiver, or are there some invoices that you
20 haven't submitted?

21 A. I don't know. I'm not sure. I think I've
22 submitted them all.

23 Q. So you think that you've submitted invoices
24 that haven't been paid?

25 A. I think so.

1 Q. And when you submit those, do you send those
2 to the court?

3 A. I file them with the court.

4 Q. And that's filed in the Williamson County
5 court, circuit court; is that correct?

6 A. Williamson County Circuit Court.

7 Q. I get confused because Judge Allen got
8 involved, so I don't know where you file things in
9 that case.

10 A. You file at the Williamson County Circuit
11 Court.

12 Q. So as best you know as you sit here today,
13 you've filed all of your invoices for your work as
14 receiver?

15 A. As best I know, yes.

16 Q. But you don't think you've been paid on all of
17 those invoices?

18 A. I think that's right.

19 Q. At some point, did the Chase parties become
20 responsible for payment of your outstanding fees in
21 the receivership?

22 A. My understanding is that the Court put down an
23 order that made them responsible.

24 Q. And did you have any discussions with them
25 about that before the Court put down that order?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Is it your understanding that the Chase
3 parties agreed to pay your outstanding fees in the
4 receivership?

5 A. I saw it for the first time in a motion where
6 they said that they would, and that had something to
7 do with Mark Hammervold, I think.

8 Q. And no one acting on behalf of the Chase
9 parties had contacted you before that motion was
10 filed?

11 A. They did not. That was the first time I
12 learned about it, when I saw it in the motion.

13 Q. It's rare that you get to receive a copy of
14 the motion and learn that you're going to get paid.

15 A. I was not surprised by it because that's what
16 Tennessee law says is that the petitioning party is
17 responsible for a receiver's fees to the extent the
18 estate is insufficient. So it didn't surprise me, but
19 no, I had not had a conversation.

20 Q. But that was not pursuant to any agreement or
21 negotiation --

22 A. It was not.

23 Q. -- as far as you're aware?

24 A. It was not.

25 Q. You testified earlier about the meeting

1 between you and Mr. Manookian at 45 Music Square West.

2 Do you recall that?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Is it fair to say that that meeting lasted
5 over an hour?

6 A. I don't remember it being that long.

7 Q. Would you agree with me that during that
8 meeting, you and Mr. Manookian discussed Cummings
9 Manookian cases?

10 A. I do think that's right.

11 Q. Do you recall that he told you, with respect
12 to each Cummings Manookian case, what he expected the
13 attorney's fee would be in that case?

14 A. I don't know if we went over every case, but
15 we certainly went over some.

16 Q. So it is fair to say it was a substantive
17 conversation about the pending cases that Cummings
18 Manookian had and the amount that could be expected to
19 be recovered in each case?

20 A. Again, I'm not sure if we talked about each
21 case, but we had discussions about what he expected
22 out of some of the cases for sure.

23 Q. And the topic of that discussion was Cummings
24 Manookian's entitlement to fees in those cases which
25 are the same cases that are listed in the adversary

1 complaint, correct?

2 A. Yeah. He expressed his opinion about that,
3 right.

4 MR. SPRAGENS: I think I'm going to see
5 if Mr. Gabbert has any questions.

6 MR. GABBERT: No questions.

7 FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT.

8 (Proceedings concluded at 11:31 a.m.)

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Sabrina L. Schneider, Notary Public and
Licensed Court Reporter, do hereby certify that I
recorded to the best of my skill and ability by
machine shorthand all the proceedings in the foregoing
transcript, and that said transcript is a true,
accurate, and complete transcript to the best of my
ability.

I further certify that I am not an attorney
or counsel of any of the parties, nor a relative or
employee of any attorney or counsel connected with the
action, nor financially interested in the action.

SIGNED this 4th day of May, 2022

Sabrina Schneider

Sabrina L. Schneider, LCR
Notary Public, State of Tennessee
My Commission Expires: 5/9/2022

Tennessee LCR No. 455
Expires: 6/30/2020

E R R A T A

I, PHILLIP YOUNG, having read the foregoing deposition, Pages 1 through 57, taken April 21, 2022, do hereby certify said testimony is a true and accurate transcript, with the following changes (if any):

PAGE	LINE	SHOULD HAVE BEEN
------	------	------------------

_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

PHILLIP YOUNG

Notary Public
My Commission Expires:_____

\$	20th 11:6,13	acting 55:8	Appeals 42:23	authority 10:18
\$750,000 14:23, 25 29:10 42:20	21 51:22 52:1	action 10:15 11:18 12:7 22:10, 22 41:13 43:2,4 48:5	appears 50:16	authorization 41:3
1	22 11:13	active 15:21 26:2	appoint 13:12	award 14:25
10 6:15 48:25 49:9	24 51:22 52:2	actual 49:17 52:20	appointed 11:6 12:10 14:22	aware 21:13 22:18 24:10 26:17,21 29:15 31:14 47:8,10,13 48:15 50:23 55:23
100 48:9,16	25 52:6	additional 30:19	appointing 11:15	awareness 12:13
11:31 57:8	25th 13:6	additionally 32:3	appointment 12:5,11,18 15:16 38:21	
13 49:15,20,22 50:1	26 52:25 53:1	advanced 29:23	approximately 14:23	B
14 6:15 50:2	27 52:24	adversary 10:21 41:7 48:24 56:25	April 13:5	background 5:14
15 50:2	28 52:20	adverse 38:22, 23 40:10,21,24	argument 29:11 31:8,10,17	bank 39:14
16 50:11	29 53:1	adversity 40:17	associates 26:25 27:2	bankruptcy 9:1, 4 10:1,6,9,17,20 12:8 17:5,8,9 20:23 24:5,23 25:5,9 35:11 41:24 42:11 44:13
17 50:13,16,24	41 48:25 52:6 53:11	affairs 32:6,11 34:3	assume 5:6 8:1, 6,8 14:6 46:14	based 29:11
18 51:1	45 17:21 18:4,8 19:6 35:13 37:2 50:17 56:1	Afsoon 20:4 22:2 25:12 33:6 37:23	assuming 6:25	basis 31:10 34:17 50:15
19 51:7	5	agree 30:4 40:7, 20 56:7	assumption 47:15	Bass 5:17,23 6:3, 24 7:4 8:6
2	5 9:13	agreed 14:20 55:3	assures 21:20	begin 16:12
20 51:16	6	agreement 20:12 24:18,21 55:20	attempt 14:22 31:22	behalf 16:13,15, 17 21:2,5 22:16 27:22 28:4,9,15, 22,24 29:6 30:12 37:23 47:3,23 48:5 55:8
2000 5:17,18	6 9:14 16:9	alert 44:9,15	attempted 15:17 31:20 38:13	belong 12:8
2008 5:18,19 6:1	7	allegation 50:16	attempting 16:13 19:25	belonged 39:2
2010 5:19,20	760 48:14	allegations 8:11, 21 9:11,23 39:12, 16	attend 10:23 15:6	Berry 5:18,23 6:4 8:6
2014 5:21	7th 33:20	alleged 40:19	attorney 8:3,4 21:13,22 43:20 44:5 47:4	bills 53:18
2018 18:25 20:25 22:20 25:2 27:23 28:5 30:8 31:15 32:10,21 33:21 34:2 46:8,22	A	Allen 11:4,5 42:5 54:7	attorney's 29:17 30:25 56:13	Binkley 15:1
2019 9:5,7 10:24 11:7,13 13:6 15:24 17:4,17 18:8 19:21 21:12 25:2,9,19 28:11, 13 29:6 31:14 34:14 39:12 42:3, 8 46:9,22 47:24 48:1	a.m. 57:8	allowable 27:14	attorneys 16:7 38:14	bit 5:14 52:7
2020 17:5	A/r 10:15,16,18	allowing 21:24	August 5:18,19 21:12	
	accessed 36:10	amount 56:18	authenticity 34:21 35:8	
	accounts 14:1 39:14	and/or 40:9		
	accurate 11:19 42:25	anymore 32:17 35:2		
	act 15:15			

(615) 933-6786
www.harperthcourtreporters.com

bleed 17:4	10,17 49:1 52:4,7	collected 16:24	32:17,25 33:6	43:1 47:11 48:14
Board 22:23	54:9 56:12,13,14, 19,21	17:7 41:3 43:4	continued 16:25	54:2,3,5,6,11,22, 25
bodily 45:24	cases 12:22 13:2	collecting 11:16	17:1 21:1,5,15	Court's 22:9
BPR 27:4,5	15:20 16:7,8,13, 19,23 17:1,10	16:19 30:1	23:12 28:8 30:12	courthouse 45:9
break 43:11	24:10 47:11 56:9, 17,22,24,25	collection 42:4	47:22	courtroom 44:9
Brian 22:1 23:15, 23,25 24:7 32:4, 20 33:5	certified 34:20	combination	continues 9:25	45:12,14,22
building 17:23	challenge 43:9	25:5	continuing 10:5	creditor 44:12,17
26:19	chambers 44:3, 6,22,24 45:11,17	comments 45:7	27:22 28:4	creditor's 44:10
bunch 11:11	chance 36:1	complaint 8:12, 22 9:14,23 11:13, 22,23 13:4 16:8, 22 20:23 39:17	conversation	creditors 25:7
Burton 5:12,22	change 26:22	48:22,24 49:12	36:19,24 37:1,3,4	Cummings
7:22,24 8:2 16:10	Chase 12:15	50:17 53:13 57:1	55:19 56:17	10:13 11:17 14:3, 17 15:3,18,19,21
19:1 30:15 39:17	16:14 44:18,25	computer 36:7	copies 17:11,12, 15,22	16:25 17:8,13
50:15	54:19 55:2,8	concern 45:17	copy 18:24	20:24 21:3,6 22:1
Burton's 28:20	Chases 42:19	concerns 43:21	24:17,20 34:13	23:5,11,14,16,17, 25 24:4,7,10,13, 21 25:3,8,11,20
business 33:12	check 14:7	concluded 57:8	48:23 55:13	27:22 28:4,9,15, 21,22 29:7,16,22
<hr/>	children 7:9	conclusion	corporate 7:10, 16,24 27:13	30:5,9,12,23,24
C	chose 43:4	38:3,6	correct 6:16 7:5, 21 8:12,17 9:10	31:8,12 32:3,4,8, 15,25 33:4,7,10
<hr/>	chos 10:14	conduct 40:19	10:21,24 16:11	34:19 37:24
call 12:17	11:17 12:7	conference	24:25 29:23 30:2, 6,10 32:9,13	38:22,24 39:2,4, 9,25 40:9,10,19, 21 41:4,5 42:13
called 5:2 14:18	circuit 11:14	18:16 19:12,13, 18	37:18 38:1,23	46:7,11,21 47:3, 23,25 48:5,9,13, 16 49:8 56:8,12, 17,23
32:13 43:21,25	54:5,6,10	confident 48:13	39:18,19 41:4	current 45:14
44:3,8,15,16	circumstances	conflicts 14:7	43:5 45:1 46:12, 17 47:5 53:4,14	<hr/>
calling 44:6	17:20	confused 54:7	54:5 57:1	D
45:17	claim 51:15	consistent	correspondenc	<hr/>
Campbell 5:11, 12 28:25 33:14, 18 34:8 40:11	53:14	32:12,21	e 35:17	Dan 12:17,21
44:1 45:5,25	claims 51:13	consult 27:8,12	corrupted 36:7, 8,16	44:20
capacity 47:17	clear 52:16	consulted 27:17	costs 29:23	date 9:8 41:14,16
card 34:19 35:10, 18 36:2,3,18	client 8:10 21:15, 18,21 22:3 29:18	contact 15:17	counsel 5:9	42:22 49:7 51:3
37:7,11,14,21	30:1 31:22 32:1	35:4 38:16	23:18 30:5 31:2	days 14:14
career 5:16	33:10,11 34:15	contacted 13:8, 20 14:2 44:21,24	32:2 43:17	dealings 14:16
case 8:12,20,25	client's 48:15	55:9	County 11:14	24:6
9:8,22 10:11	clients 17:14	contempt 39:3	15:1 54:4,6,10	debtor's 44:13
12:2,16,19,23	26:3 47:16	40:9	couple 16:21	December 9:5
18:20,21 20:3	collect 10:14,15, 18 13:25 14:22	contend 47:25	30:19	10:24 18:25
21:2,10,14,16	16:13 29:10,16, 22 38:24 42:21	context 40:13	court 10:7,11	20:25 25:2 27:23
23:8 28:8 29:6	51:14	continue 21:21	11:14 12:2,5,10	28:5 30:8 31:15
30:10 31:10		22:3 26:2,24	13:2,12 14:21	
32:23 33:3,7			15:1 16:15,17	
35:24 38:7 43:18			21:7,17,20 22:16, 23 23:1,20 29:9	
44:8 46:13 48:6,			41:2 42:17,23	

(615) 933-6786
www.harpethcourtreporters.com

32:10,21 33:20 34:2 39:12 42:2,8 46:8,22	discussions 9:2 54:24 56:21	entitled 29:12,22 30:25 31:9 34:1,7 48:9,14,16	factual 49:1	23:7,12 28:8 29:6 31:9 32:8 33:2 34:1,24 35:5 48:6,10,17 52:7
decide 16:16	dispute 12:13	entitlement 56:24	fair 5:7 18:3 43:6 45:24 46:2 49:3, 19 52:8 56:4,16	Fitzgeralds 30:4,9,23 33:1 38:10 48:1
decision 6:4,5,6, 17,18,19 7:19	docket 21:8	entitles 29:16	fall 28:11,13	
default 13:23	document 49:4	entity 7:16	family 23:13	
defense 20:2	documents 11:11 37:5 52:17, 23 53:2	essentially 12:15 14:20	fee 29:17 48:10, 17 56:13	floor 19:11,15
defunct 13:23	door 18:13,15	estate 12:8 55:18	fees 16:13,19 17:7 20:1,4,11, 15,20 30:1,25 41:12 42:14 54:20 55:3,17 56:24	form 7:10,24 26:13 28:25 29:4 34:8 40:11
demand 9:19	doorbell 18:12	ethical 6:7,8		formation 27:13
demonstrate 35:1	doubt 34:14	ethics 27:9	felt 48:13	formed 5:19 19:21 26:10 28:17 29:1,8 47:24 48:19 49:8 51:4
denies 22:8	doubted 34:21	event 29:17 30:25	figure 21:9	
depo 33:15	drew 38:3,5	everyone's 11:12	file 21:5,7 33:4 36:6,7,15 39:3,8 54:3,8,10	found 20:2
DEPONENT 57:7	duly 5:3	evidence 40:2 49:17,23	filed 9:4 10:9,18, 20,21 13:5,9,16 16:7 20:24 23:6, 10,14,15,17 24:5, 24 25:9 28:20,22 30:12,20 38:22 39:17 40:7 41:8 42:3 54:4,13 55:10	front 11:3 42:5
deposited 10:7	duties 53:3	excuses 21:17		fully 48:11
deposition 5:7	<hr/> E <hr/>	exhibit 33:15 48:23		functioning 10:10
deputies 45:22	earlier 46:24 50:7 52:8 55:25	existed 31:15		funds 16:16,24 38:24 39:1 41:3,9 42:5 51:12,13
deputy 44:9 45:12,14	early 11:8 25:2	expected 56:12, 18,21		<hr/> G <hr/>
determine 25:19	effective 32:7	expecting 7:3	filing 10:1 21:2 28:8 33:2 41:23 42:10 45:16 47:22	Gabbert 22:13 57:5,6
develop 20:18 27:21,25 28:3,6 35:7 46:20 48:4	effectuate 23:2	expert 27:9,13, 17	filings 37:23	Garfinkle 5:20 6:14,18 7:15,20 8:2
developed 28:10 47:15,20,21 48:11 49:2,13 50:24 51:19 52:9	efforts 21:15	expressed 23:20 57:2	fine 33:19	gave 17:22 18:19,21 34:13
directly 8:7,8 9:18	email 25:16 36:21 37:16	expressing 43:21	firm 6:14,18,20, 22 7:20 12:14 13:23,24 15:5 21:14 27:2 29:12, 21 32:5 33:11 34:2 46:16 47:1, 7,16	generally 41:1
directs 42:17	ended 10:3	extent 8:25 10:16 55:17	firm's 6:4,18 7:15	give 5:14
disagree 40:23	engaged 26:14 27:3 33:12	<hr/> F <hr/>	firms 8:5 15:22	good 29:10 31:8, 10,17
disbarred 26:9	engagement 17:11,13,15 18:22 29:11,14, 15 30:22 31:11, 13 52:18	fact 26:10 33:9 34:3 37:22 38:11 44:9 49:9	Fitzgerald 8:25 9:8,22 10:3 18:21 19:25 21:2,10	granted 11:14 22:12
discharged 29:17 30:5	ensure 21:15	facts 8:24 49:2,5, 13,22 50:23 52:8 53:10		grants 22:8
discovered 25:4	entered 11:15 12:1 15:1			green 34:19 35:10,18 36:2,3,
discussed 56:8	entities 27:13			
discussion 56:23				

(615) 933-6786
www.harperthcourtreporters.com

18 37:7,11,14,21	holding 51:12	involvement 20:4,5,11,16,20	list 15:20 16:1,6,9
guess 9:16 10:4	hour 56:5	10:2 43:1	24:9
46:24 47:14		issue 43:17	listed 16:8,22
	I	45:21,23	56:25
H		issues 6:7	lists 16:2,4,6
	identified 8:10		litigant's 22:10
Hagh 18:1,4,6	immediately 35:8	J	litigated 47:11
19:20,21 20:4,5,			LLC 7:12 26:14
11,15,20 21:1	impact 23:11	January 5:19	logistical 45:21,
22:2 25:12,16	31:17	jobs 47:7	23
27:21 28:3,14,20,	implicated 45:24	John 5:6	logistically 44:11 45:3
23,24 29:5 33:6	important 51:11	Judge 11:3 15:1	long 56:6
37:23 39:13,21	impression 20:18	42:5 43:15,20	longer 10:18
42:5 46:25 47:8,	improper 20:19	54:7	33:11 36:10
17,24 48:5 50:18	40:19	judgment 13:23	looked 18:25
Hagh's 8:15 29:5	improperly 39:13	July 11:9	21:8 27:16 30:14
half 6:13	inaccurate 11:24	June 11:6,9,13	50:8 51:8 52:14
Hammervold	indicia 19:7	K	lost 22:13
11:17 15:4,18,22	information 25:8 38:9 50:4,6		lot 37:15 43:12
24:11 41:5 55:7	51:18	knew 15:13	52:16
Hammervold's	instructed 20:3,	17:24 20:23	
15:4	10	23:23 24:12	M
hand 48:23	insufficient 55:18	29:10 45:2 49:7,	
handle 44:16	integrity 6:8	16,25 50:6,12	made 9:19 37:20
45:22	intended 20:13	51:3 52:18	54:23
hanging 22:6	interest 6:22	knowledge 8:11,	mail 37:16
happened 8:21	interrogatories 8:15	19 9:9,21,24,25	make 7:3,7 17:1
9:1 16:16 18:10	interrogatory 8:14	11:21,23,25	21:14 33:15
38:7	interview 38:13	12:12 30:7 49:2,	40:10,21 45:7
heading 8:24	invoices 18:21	6,21 50:13,20	makes 40:24
9:12,16	53:19,23 54:13,	51:25 52:21 53:9	making 7:2
hear 36:16 43:22	17		51:13,15
heard 14:19	involved 10:19	L	Manookian
15:11 20:5 36:15	12:15 24:11		10:13 11:17
43:20,24 44:2	32:22 39:12	laid 18:17	12:14 14:3,17
50:15	46:13 54:8	language 32:6	15:2,3,4,18,21
hearing 10:23		large 13:23	17:13,16,22
15:6,14 36:9		lasted 56:4	18:15 20:1,24,25
39:12 43:23 44:8		late 11:9	21:3,6 22:15,18
hearings 10:5,8		Lauren 45:13	23:6,12,14,15,16,
52:3		law 5:15,24 6:9	17,23,25 24:1,4,
hire 27:1		12:14 13:23,24	10,13,21 25:2,3,
history 5:16		15:4 19:7,21	8,11,14,20 26:8,
hold 48:8			11,19 27:1,23

(615) 933-6786
www.harperthcourtreporters.com

28:5,9,16,21,22 29:7,16,22 30:5, 9,13,23,24 31:9, 12 32:3,8,15,16, 21,25 33:4,7,10 34:2,13,18,19 36:12,20 37:24 38:23,24 39:2,4, 9,25 40:9,10,20, 21 41:4,5 42:13 43:22 44:6,25 45:8 46:4,8,21 47:3,23,25 48:6, 9,13,16 49:8 51:3,6,8,10,18 56:1,8,9,12,18	memorialized 39:17 memory 11:19 mentioned 47:12 met 17:21 18:6 mind 22:4 34:25 minute 29:15 monetary 39:20, 24 40:8,24 money 9:2 10:6 31:9 39:13 month 12:17 motion 13:5,9, 11,15,19 15:6,8, 12 21:20 22:7,8 23:7 33:2,4,5 39:3,8 40:8 45:16 55:5,9,12,14 motions 38:22 42:3 moved 39:13 movement 9:2 Music 17:21 18:4,8 19:6 35:13 37:2 50:17 56:1 mutual 6:5	notwithstanding 31:25 32:6 33:9 November 9:4,6, 7 Number 51:7 <hr/> O <hr/> Object 28:25 34:8 40:11 Objection 44:1 45:5,25 obligated 42:13 observed 43:13 obtain 35:24 occurred 10:9 office 20:7 51:2 offices 19:14,16 operate 26:13 operating 24:17, 21 46:7,21 47:1 opinion 28:17 29:2,4,8 30:21 31:6 48:2,4,7,8, 11,12 57:2 opposed 23:7 opposing 43:17 orally 35:20 36:22 order 11:15 12:1 13:17,18 21:24 22:5,7,11,14 37:11 42:4,17,22, 25 44:10,14 45:3 54:23,25 outstanding 10:16 54:20 55:3	paragraph 11:12 29:20,25 49:9,15, 20,22 50:1,2,24 52:6,20,24 53:1, 11 paragraphs 48:25 49:12 51:17,22 parte 45:18 participating 52:3 parties 12:15 16:14 36:23 37:3 44:25 54:19 55:3, 9 partner 6:20,25 7:1,2,3,7 24:13 47:1 party 12:24 13:22 40:24,25 42:19 43:17 55:16 pay 42:13 55:3 payment 54:20 payments 17:1 pending 56:17 people 19:19 percent 48:9,16 performed 29:6 period 16:20 30:19 46:8,22 52:4 permissible 27:10 person 17:19 37:2 43:16 45:8, 13,15 46:5 personal 8:10,19 9:9,21,25 11:22, 25 49:6,21 50:13, 20 52:21 53:9 personally 26:15 47:13 petitioning 42:19 55:16	Phillip 5:1 11:15 phone 43:16 place 18:14 places 50:17 planning 33:16 PLC 7:17 11:17 26:10 pleadings 9:18 21:2,5 28:7,8,20, 23 30:11,20 40:2, 4,5 47:3 49:17, 22,24,25 50:12, 18 52:17 PLLC 7:12,13,17, 25 24:15 26:1,11, 23 27:14,16 51:3, 6,8,10,18 point 14:12 15:19 16:12,25 19:20 20:6,8,22 22:19 24:6,20 27:20,21 28:3 37:15 38:22 43:3 45:16 54:19 posing 45:8 46:5 post 17:8 potential 45:4 practice 6:8,11 7:11 19:7 22:19 26:14,15,24 27:3, 22 28:4 32:16 practiced 5:17, 20 practicing 26:20 28:15 33:12 prayers 53:16 pre 17:9 pre-petition 41:20 predates 41:23 prepared 26:7 presence 43:16 pretty 18:11 previous 14:16
Manookian's 22:1,2 33:5 56:24 March 5:21 19:21 46:9,22 47:24 48:1 mark 15:4 48:22 55:7 Marty 33:25 material 35:23 materials 11:22 49:1 matter 8:18 10:3 32:2,8 35:2 matters 18:23 Mclemore 5:20 meet 17:19 meeting 17:24 18:8,10,20,24 19:4 25:6 35:13 37:7,9 55:25 56:4,8 Meisner 20:2,9 Melissa 33:25 member 8:3,4,7 23:25 24:3,15 25:3,11,13 26:1, 23 members 25:20 membership 6:22	name's 5:6 named 15:8,12 24:13 needed 13:25 37:11 negotiation 55:21 nondescript 19:12 notice 23:6,10, 14,15,17 31:22 notices 18:18	<hr/> P <hr/> paid 41:2,9,13, 19,23 42:2,7,18 53:24 54:16 55:14		

(615) 933-6786
www.harperthcourtreporters.com

previously 12:20	47:18 48:24 52:19	49:3,6,14,16,21 50:9,14,25 51:3, 6,9,11,19 52:10 53:3,19 54:14	19:16 21:11 35:12,16 36:4,5, 21,22,25 37:8,13 39:7,10,23 40:3, 13 56:6	room 18:16 19:12,13,18 43:22
principal 33:9	questions 57:5,6			rules 5:7
principals 40:20, 22	R	receiver's 55:17	remit 20:3,10	run 14:7 27:2
prior 9:1 20:22		receivership 8:22,23 9:11,13, 17 10:12,14,15 11:15 12:2 13:5 24:8 39:5 41:10, 13,19,23,25 42:14 43:3 54:21 55:4	reports 41:10 42:1	S
pro 21:18	raised 6:7		represent 11:12 13:4 23:12 26:2 33:1,11 38:14 47:16	safety 45:24
problem 45:4	rang 18:12			SAITH 57:7
proceed 21:18	range 41:16			sanctions 14:25 39:8,20,24 40:8, 24
proceeding 10:21 41:8 48:24	rare 55:13		representation 29:21 30:17 34:1, 7	sat 18:16
proceedings 57:8	reached 24:9	receiverships 42:18	representatives 44:13	scanned 36:8
process 14:8 19:25 32:5,11	reading 30:22	receiving 35:8 38:10	represented 5:9 20:1 21:16,21 47:25 50:1	school 5:24
produce 34:18, 19	reason 7:6 25:22 34:21 51:10	recently 32:4		scope 53:2
product 53:6	reasonable 29:16	recess 43:13	representing 12:24 13:22 22:3 33:6 44:12	search 35:23
professional 22:24 26:14	reasons 23:19	reciting 51:24	required 32:2,3	secretary 20:6 25:7 50:3,8 51:1
prohibited 26:18	recall 7:15 13:11, 14,15,17,18 15:13,17 16:3,18 19:17,23,24 35:20 36:9,12 39:11,20,24 41:17,18 56:2,11	recollection 14:10 37:6 41:22, 25 51:5	resolved 22:7	section 8:23 9:23 51:22 52:23
proof 37:17		record 33:18 38:6 49:11 51:25 52:1	respect 6:3,24 9:7,22 11:11,21 51:17 56:11	secure 19:25
proposed 15:11, 14 22:11,14	receivable 51:14,15	recover 39:1	responded 14:11	seek 24:17,20
protection 44:10,14 45:3	receivables 14:1 41:6	recovered 56:19	response 51:7	seeking 38:24 39:1,3,8,20,24 40:8,23 42:4,21
pull 20:7	receive 18:24 34:6 35:1 55:13	referring 44:17	responses 8:15	seeks 21:14
purported 20:25	received 12:17 19:3 34:4,24 35:5 38:11	regard 32:24	Responsibility 22:24	send 54:1
purposes 11:16 24:15		related 8:22 18:21	responsible 54:20,23 55:17	sending 20:15 33:1
pursuant 55:20	receiver 9:19 10:11,13,20 11:1, 6,16 12:4,10,16, 19,23 13:1,12,13 14:21 15:16 17:9, 15 18:4 25:25 28:15,18,19 29:4 31:2,4,6,8,25 32:13 33:8 34:11 35:2,4,12 38:1, 19,21 39:6,9,13 41:4 42:21 46:18, 20 48:3,4,8,20	relationship 21:1	restraining 42:4	sense 40:17
Puryear 12:17,21 13:9,20 14:18 32:12,22 44:20, 21		Relevance 44:1 45:5,25	result 22:9	separate 6:17 8:23
put 54:22,25		relevant 25:24 35:25	reviewed 26:18	separation 6:3
Q		relief 53:14,16	reviewing 13:15, 17,18 49:4,11	September 17:17 18:8 21:12
question 17:6 25:14,16 28:1,5 30:24 34:5 40:14		rely 34:1,7	risk 45:8 46:5	serve 12:19 14:21
		remainder 53:13	role 25:25 28:19 49:14	served 12:23 13:1
		remember 7:18 14:13,15 15:11 16:18,23 18:12		

(615) 933-6786
www.harpethcourtreporters.com

server 36:9	57:4	sufficient 34:25	12:11,12	trustee 17:6,7 24:22 25:6 31:3
serving 32:13 35:12 38:19 39:6 51:6,11	Square 17:21 18:5,8 19:6 35:13 37:2 50:17 56:1	suggest 20:14	terminating 12:1	typical 10:12
set 53:17	stand 40:4	sum 13:21	terms 5:15 6:8 26:17	<hr/> U <hr/>
settle 17:2	start 23:16 47:16	summer 15:23 28:10 31:14	testified 5:3 46:24 50:7 55:25	U.S. 37:16
settlement 20:12	started 46:16	support 50:24	testifies 34:24	Uh-huh 49:10
share 26:7 28:19	starting 48:1	supported 38:6	testify 50:15	ultimately 16:16
shed 47:19	starts 9:13	suppose 12:6	theory 47:20,21	unaware 31:12
show 11:10 41:11 42:1	state 10:7,11 12:2 13:2 25:7 43:1	Supreme 22:16, 23	thing 44:7 50:11	understand 37:11 40:16 47:14
showed 18:11	state's 20:7 50:3, 8 51:2	surprise 55:18	things 8:21,25 9:2,17,20 18:18 25:5 43:8 47:22 49:16 51:24 52:17,18,19,22 53:1 54:8	understandable 23:22
signed 31:11,13 32:20	stated 28:21,23	surprised 55:15	thinking 18:14	understanding 10:24 22:22 54:22 55:2
significance 22:4	statement 32:1, 10	suspension 18:19 23:2 26:18 31:25	Thompson 5:9, 12,21 7:22,24 8:2	uneventful 18:11
signing 47:3	status 7:16	suspicion 35:7	thought 11:8 21:23 31:7 45:3 46:25	unpaid 42:14,18
silent 30:24	statute 27:15,16, 18	sworn 5:3 8:14	time 5:22 6:9 7:4 9:25 10:5,10,17 11:12 14:2,17,20 15:10,13 16:20 18:3,7 20:5,18, 21,22 21:10 23:24 24:12,18 25:24 34:13 36:17 37:17 41:7, 9,12,20 46:14,21, 25 48:21 50:21, 24 52:4,9 55:5,11	unrepresented 23:21
Sims 5:18,23 6:4	steps 25:19 27:5, 8,12	<hr/> T <hr/>	times 8:1 37:15	untoward 20:15, 19
sit 54:12	stick 7:6	talk 29:14 40:16	today 11:11,20 12:4 27:2 54:12	unusual 20:15 21:19,23,25 30:18,21
slightly 16:3	stop 28:15	talked 16:9 45:11 51:21 52:7 56:20	told 7:1 14:3 25:6 31:7 32:12,22 36:6 45:2 56:11	upstairs 18:16
small 7:9	straight 5:24 19:17	talking 33:23 43:8	topic 56:23	usual 14:7
sole 23:25 24:3 25:3 26:23	subject 8:18	talks 29:20	topics 8:19	<hr/> V <hr/>
solo 6:11 7:10 8:2	submit 22:11,14 54:1	tasked 29:9	transition 30:19	vacated 42:21, 22,25
sort 29:13 42:4	submitted 13:18 20:20 22:16 53:18,20,22,23	telephone 25:17	trial 23:1	view 23:5,11 25:10 26:1,5,7, 12,22 27:1,18,19, 21,25 28:3,6,10, 14,20 30:18 31:24 33:8,25 34:11 37:25 46:20 48:15,19
sound 9:14 13:6	subsequent 30:11	telling 33:10 36:12		
sounds 11:8 13:7	substance 13:21 39:11	tells 30:9		
space 45:1	substantive 56:16	temporary 18:19 42:3		
speak 8:7,8	substitution 23:18	Tennessee 22:15,23 42:23 55:16		
specifically 13:12	successfully 16:19	terminate 21:1		
spoken 43:15		terminated		
Spragens 5:5,6 22:17 29:3 33:14, 16,20,24 34:10 40:15 43:11,14 44:4 45:6 46:3				

(615) 933-6786
www.harperthcourtreporters.com

W	Y
Walker 43:16,20	year 6:13 49:7
website 20:7 50:3,8	years 6:12
weeks 14:14 24:8	yesterday 16:9 19:1 30:15 33:17, 19 52:14
West 17:21 18:5, 8 19:6 35:14 37:2 50:18 56:1	you-all 37:1,2
Williamson 11:14 15:1 54:4, 6,10	Young 5:1,6,20 11:16 43:15
winding 32:5,11, 15,19,24 34:3	
withdraw 21:14, 20 23:7 30:17 31:23 32:2,4 33:5,6	
withdrawal 18:25 19:3 21:24 22:1,2,5 23:6,10 31:15,20,23 32:7 33:2	
withdrawing 30:10 34:3	
withdrawn 46:15	
withdraws 29:21 31:1	
words 35:9	
work 29:5 41:16, 18,22 42:2,8 44:11 53:6,19 54:13	
worked 12:21	
working 7:8 28:21,23	
wound 13:24 14:3	
writing 35:21	
wrong 10:25 18:14	

(615) 933-6786
www.harpethcourtreporters.com